

Exhibit A

Scheduling Conference Transcript

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	
3		
4	In re:	: Case No. 02-12687 (KG)
		: :
5	ACANDS, INC.,	: Chapter 11
		: :
6	Debtor.	: :
	_____	: :
7		: :
	In re:	: Case No. 00-04471 (KG)
8		: :
	ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES,	: Chapter 11
9	INC., et al.,	: :
		: Jointly Administered
10	Debtors.	: :
	_____	: :
11		: :
	In re:	: Case No. 03-10495 (KG)
12		: :
	COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC.,	: Chapter 11
13		: :
	Debtor.	: :
14	_____	: :
		: :
15	In re:	: Case No. 04-11300 (KG)
		: :
16	THE FLINTKOTE COMPANY,	: Chapter 11
	et al.,	: :
17		: Jointly Administered
	Debtors.	: :
18	_____	: :
		: :
19	In re:	: Case No. 02-10429 (KG)
		: :
20	KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION,	: Chapter 11
	et al.,	: :
21		: Jointly Administered
	Debtors.	: :
22	_____	: :
		: :
23	In re:	: Case No. 00-03837 (KG)
		: :
24	OWENS CORNING, et al.,	: Chapter 11
		: :
25	Debtors.	: Jointly Administered

1 In re: : Case No. 01-02471 (KG)
:
2 UNITED STATES MINERAL : Chapter 11
PRODUCTS COMPANY, :
3 :
Debtor. :
4 _____ :
:
5 In re: : Case No. 01-02094 (KG)
:
6 USG CORPORATION, et al., : Chapter 11
:
7 Debtor. : Jointly Administered

8 :
In re: : Case No. 01-01139 (KG)
9 :
W.R. GRACE & CO., et al., : Chapter 11
10 :
Debtors. : Jointly Administered
11 _____
12

13 United States Bankruptcy Court
14 824 North Market Street
15 Wilmington, Delaware
16

17 August 23, 2016
18 1:00 PM
19

20 B E F O R E :
21 HON KEVIN GROSS
22 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
23
24

25 ECR OPERATOR: BRANDON MCCARTHY

1 HEARING re Motion of Honeywell International Inc. for Access
2 to Rule 2019 Exhibits

3
4 HEARING re Motion of Honeywell International Inc. to Strike
5 Objection of The North American Refractories Company
6 Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust Advisory Committee

7
8 HEARING re Emergency Motion of the North American
9 Refractories Company Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement
10 Trust Advisory Committee to (1) Consolidate and Continue
11 Hearings and (2) Appoint Rule 2019 Expert and Referee

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Transcribed by: Dawn South

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2 POLSINELLI PC

3 Attorney for Honeywell International, Inc.
4 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101
5 Wilmington, DE 19081

6

7 BY: JUSTIN K. EDELSON, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

8

9 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

10 Attorney for Honeywell International, Inc.
11 340 Madison Avenue
12 New York, NY 10173-1922

13

14 BY: DARREN AZMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

15

16 SAUL EWING LLP

17 Attorney for Owens Corning
18 555 Fifth Avenue
19 Suite 1700
20 New York, NY 10017

21

22 BY: ADAM H. ISENBERG, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

23

24

25

1 RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

2 Attorney for Armstrong World Industries Inc.

3 One Rodney Square

4 920 North King Street

5 Wilmington, DE 19801

6

7 BY: JASON M. MADRON, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

8

9 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES

10 Attorney for W.R. Grace & Co., et al.

11 919 North Market Street

12 17th Floor

13 Wilmington, DE 19801

14

15 BY: JAMES E. O'NEILL, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

16

17 CAPLIN & DRYSDALE

18 Attorney for NARCO TAC

19 One Thomas Circle, NW

20 Suite 1100

21 Washington, DC 20005-5802

22

23 BY: KEVIN MACLAY, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

24

25

1 MONTGOMERY, MCCracken, WALKER & Rhoads, LLP

2 Attorney for Natalie D. Ramsey

3 123 South Broad Street

4 Avenue of the Arts

5 Philadelphia, PA 19109

6
7 BY: NATALIE D. RAMSEY, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

8
9 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

10 Attorney for the Office of the United States Trustee

11 844 King Street

12 Suite 2207

13 Wilmington, DE 19801

14
15 BY: RICHARD SCHEPACARTER, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

16
17 YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

18 Attorney for Future Claimants and Interested Party

19 Rodney Square

20 1000 North King Street

21 Wilmington, DE 19801

22
23 BY: EDWIN J. HARRON, ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: Counsel, good afternoon, Judge Gross
3 is on the telephone now, and what I believe we have for
4 today is a status scheduling conference in -- on these Rule
5 2019 motions. I know that there is a motion to strike,
6 there is a motion to consolidate, there's the Rule 2019
7 motion, and there is a motion to appoint a Rule 2019 expert
8 and referee. So those are the matters we've got, and I'll
9 be pleased to hear from you.

10 But let me just at the outset indicate that what
11 my preference would be and then we can discuss them --
12 discuss it.

13 I think I can decide the motion to strike on the
14 papers that I've got without argument, which means that we
15 will then have -- and all the cases are now assigned to me
16 -- as of the asbestos cases are assigned to me, which I
17 think takes care of consolidation, but I'll hear from you on
18 that.

19 So I think what we've got to do is schedule the
20 Rule 2019 motion and the appointment of a -- the motion to
21 appoint a Rule 2019 expert and referee.

22 But having indicated that I'll be pleased to hear
23 from you now.

24 MR. EDELSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor, this is
25 Justin Edelson for Honeywell. Appreciate you making time

1 for us this afternoon on such short notice. My co-counsel,
2 Darren Azman from McDermott Will & Emery, is on the line as
3 well, and he is going to address the Court with respect to
4 the access motions and the TAC's referee motion.

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Edelson.

6 MR. AZMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Darren
7 Azman, McDermott Will & Emery, counsel to Honeywell. Thanks
8 again for hearing this on short notice and by telephone for
9 the convenience of the parties.

10 The scheduling dispute has arisen because -- and
11 I'll lay out what the parties' positions are as objectively
12 as I think I can do.

13 THE COURT: All right.

14 MR. AZMAN: So the NARCO TAC believes that the --
15 we'll call it the referral motion if that's okay with Your
16 Honor or the referee motion -- the TAC believes that the
17 referee motion should be determined first heard and
18 adjudicated first prior to the access motions. Honeywell
19 believes that the access motions should at least be heard
20 first. Not necessarily adjudicated, but should be heard,
21 and that's for a few reason, Your Honor.

22 First, mediation, which is essentially what the
23 TAC is asking for, and if that's not then I would ask that
24 they clarify what exactly they're talking about when they
25 ask the Court to appoint Judge Fitzgerald to do whatever it

1 is they're asking Judge Fitzgerald to do, but mediation is
2 something that is typically imposed on parties only after
3 the parties have failed to reach a resolution and usually
4 after several contentious hearings, and that has not
5 happened here. Briefing is not even complete on the pending
6 motions, and there has not been a single hearing on the
7 merits, as Your Honor knows. So we think the access motion
8 should be heard prior to Your Honor hearing the referral
9 motions.

10 If of course Your Honor later finds that mediation
11 is proper we can address it at that time, but mediation at
12 this stage would be premature and would impose costs on
13 Honeywell only, not the TAC given Honeywell's funding
14 obligations under the NARCO trust agreement. So that's the
15 first argument.

16 The second reason, Your Honor, is as you know
17 Honeywell opposes the referral motion for a number of
18 reasons. One of those reasons, is that there is nothing to
19 mediate. There's several case law on the same exact facts
20 and the same exact Chapter 11 cases, and I don't want to get
21 into the merits, and I know that partially does, but it's
22 important for context.

23 We intend to demonstrate the simplicity of this
24 case and these motions to Your Honor in opposing any attempt
25 to refer the motions to mediation, and a TAC's argument for

1 having the referral motions heard first is that there will
2 be more efficient -- it would be more efficient to do that.
3 But what I'm telling Your Honor is there will be no
4 efficiencies in hearing the mediation motion first, because
5 we will necessarily have to wade into the substance of the
6 access motion -- out of the access motions.

7 And then third, Your Honor, like I eluded to
8 before, it's not at all clear what exactly the TAC is
9 seeking under its referral motion, perhaps you have more
10 clarity than I do, but it seems to us that it's nothing more
11 than a delay in adjudicating Honeywell's access motions and
12 seeking to shift the cost to Honeywell of fighting that and
13 increasing their cost of doing it.

14 They cite the local rule in Delaware that permits
15 Your Honor to appoint a mediator, but they don't actually
16 ask for Judge Fitzgerald to be appointed as a mediator, they
17 call it a Rule 2019 expert and referee.

18 Again, I'm not really sure what role they
19 contemplate, so I think as an initial matter the TAC needs
20 to better explain what it is that they're seeking for Judge
21 Fitzgerald to do if she's appointed in any capacity.

22 And one important fact related to that is these
23 2019 exhibits that we're seeking access to they've already
24 been produced in a redacted form that was approved by the
25 Delaware court -- the Delaware District Court specifically

1 on appeal, and all these 2019 exhibits in that redacted
2 form, they're on file with the western district of
3 Pennsylvania, and we've confirmed that with the special
4 discovery master who was previously appointed to do all
5 these redactions.

6 So I only tell Your Honor this because I want to
7 point out there's no need for a special discovery master to
8 repeat that work, if that's what the NARCO TAC was concerned
9 about. Again, I don't necessarily understand fully what
10 role they contemplate for Judge Fitzgerald, but if that's
11 what it was there's no need for somebody to do that work,
12 it's already been done.

13 So, Your Honor, in sum I just don't see any reason
14 why the referee motions should be heard first, Your Honor
15 can always table that motion for a later time if after
16 hearing the debtor's -- excuse me, not the debtor's --
17 Honeywell's and the TAC's arguments on the access motion,
18 you determine that it is cause to refer to a mediator then
19 that's fine, we can discuss it at that time, but right now
20 it just doesn't make much sense.

21 Thank you, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Azman, would
23 it make sense -- and it's a question -- would it make sense
24 to hear both the access and the reference motion at the same
25 time?

1 MR. AZMAN: We would not be opposed to that.
2 That's something that we did discuss with the TAC, they were
3 against it, but I guess I would want to discuss a little bit
4 further and clarify what that would actually look like. Are
5 you suggesting that it be the same hearing, one single
6 hearing would be scheduled and Your Honor would hear the
7 access motions and then thereafter -- right after that you
8 would hear the referral motions, is that what Your Honor is
9 suggesting?

10 THE COURT: That's what I had in mind and then I
11 would take them under consideration and issue a ruling.

12 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, I don't see any problem
13 with that.

14 THE COURT: All right. And let me ask you this,
15 Mr. Azman, how long do you think this hearing will take? Is
16 it a full-day hearing?

17 MR. AZMAN: No, absolutely not. Like I said
18 before, we think that this -- these cases are very simple
19 and in our reply brief on the access motions, which it is 30
20 pages or so that will be filed so I apologize in advance for
21 that, but the problem here is that many of the arguments
22 have been recycled by the TAC from prior litigation and that
23 have already been rejected by the district court, so it is
24 important to go through each of those points and show Your
25 Honor how and why each of those arguments under the same

1 facts and law was rejected. So that's really the only
2 reason why the hearing might last any significant period of
3 time. But if you're asking me for how long I might need for
4 my side of the case, about an hour, no more.

5 THE COURT: All right. And then the other side,
6 and then of course if we decide to go with the reference
7 motion at the same time that would then take additional
8 time.

9 MR. AZMAN: Yes, but I think a lot of the facts
10 are intertwined that support the relief we're seeking in the
11 access motions that also support our objection to the
12 referee motion, so I don't know that I'd add much more than
13 a half an hour for that.

14 THE COURT: All right. All right, I thank you,
15 and I'll hear from anyone else now who wishes to be heard.

16 MR. AZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 MR. MACLAY: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Kevin
18 Maclay for the NARCO TAC.

19 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Good afternoon.

20 MR. MACLAY: Good afternoon. A couple of points,
21 but one in response -- well let's make an overarching point.

22 With respect to the order of how things should be
23 heard, we think it would be inefficient to hear them
24 together and it would be an incredible efficiency to hear
25 them separately, and let me explain why that's the case.

1 What we are seeking to have Judge Fitzgerald be
2 appointed to do as a court-appointed expert is to listen to
3 the arguments, both factual and legal of the parties, and
4 issue a report and recommendation for Your Honor's
5 consideration.

6 You heard from Mr. Azman today that these issues
7 are very simple, and then he told you he's filing a 30-page
8 reply brief in support of a 15-page motion. I suggest to
9 Your Honor that that is overstated to say the least. It's
10 actually quite complicated, and I'll delve just a little
11 teeny bit into those complexities here to make clear to Your
12 Honor that Judge Fitzgerald would be useful to Your Honor,
13 and that's really the standard for whether or not Your Honor
14 should appoint a court-appointed expert, would they be
15 useful to Your Honor. We think that because Judge
16 Fitzgerald, (a), drafted the initial order that they're
17 seeking to enforce here to get the documents replaced off
18 the docket, (2), (indiscernible) in the context of the
19 Garlock (ph) dispute years ago, and (3)e, implemented Judge
20 Stark's ultimate decision to give restricted access to
21 certain of those documents. And finally it is the court-
22 appointed mediator in the NARCO proceeding, the proceeding
23 in which NARCO claims it wishes to use these documents.

24 For all of those reasons she would be very well
25 situated to give Your Honor a report and recommendation both

1 (a), whether access should be granted at all consistent with
2 the reasoning of Judge Stark's ruling, because as we have
3 put in our papers, and I'm not going to reiterate it here,
4 our argument, and I think it's a strong wrong, is that Judge
5 Stark's rationale would preclude the use that NARCO
6 (indiscernible) to use these documents for. And if that's
7 true they shouldn't get them at all. And Judge Fitzgerald
8 is uniquely situated to opine in the first instance on that
9 because of her role in implementing the order that Judge
10 Stark issued, that was the role she was given and that was
11 the role she took on.

12 So because these issues are in fact quite
13 complicated, because she has the unique knowledge of not
14 only the 2019 issues in general but in the context of a
15 simpler body of documents and in the context of the NARCO
16 proceeding particularly, we think she'd be useful to Your
17 Honor. And the problem with doing it at the same time is
18 what we would propose to do is have Judge Fitzgerald hear
19 the arguments of counsel, read the briefs, and give Your
20 Honor a report and recommendation. It wouldn't make any
21 sense for Your Honor to hear argument from the parties
22 directly prior to that happening. It would be inefficient,
23 because it could potentially run the risk of mooted out our
24 request to have her appointed in the first place as a court-
25 appointed expert to give Your Honor that report and

1 recommendation. She really needs to be the person hearing
2 the arguments and reading the briefs ab initio so that she
3 can then provide you the report and recommendation that you
4 would review and either choose to adopt or not.

5 So that's -- in terms of time and sequence we
6 think it's important to have the emergency motion heard
7 first. And I would note two other points in that
8 connection, Your Honor.

9 First, that motion is fully briefed. We have
10 filed our motion, they opposed it, and we do not plan to
11 file a reply brief. We think the papers are adequate as
12 they are. And so we would like an oral argument
13 opportunity, but we think that could happen in front of
14 Judge Fitzgerald and that's ready to go.

15 And we would note that Judge Shannon's order
16 suggested that the emergency motion would result in the case
17 being stayed while Your Honors consider the issues in the
18 last remaining piece of our motion if the appointment of
19 Judge Fitzgerald as a court-appointed expert if Your Honor
20 chose to do that.

21 So we think that that last remaining piece of the
22 emergency motion should be heard first as a matter of
23 sequence.

24 Did Your Honor have any questions about the
25 sequencing before I go on to the next point?

1 THE COURT: Well the only question I've got I
2 guess, Mr. Maclay, is this. The parties are going to have
3 to travel here to argue this matter, and I know you think
4 it's a foregone conclusion, you know, that I'll appoint
5 Judge Fitzgerald, but is it really that much extra work to
6 argue both motions?

7 MR. MACLAY: Your Honor, if the concept is that
8 Judge Fitzgerald would hear the arguments of counsel and
9 make a report and recommendation to you, she would
10 presumably need to be in the courtroom when those arguments
11 are made.

12 And we would also note that we still don't have,
13 the other side their reply brief to the 2019 access motion,
14 and that motion remains unfinished. And we believe given
15 the brevity of their original motion, compared with what
16 they have now acknowledged to be a twice as long reply
17 brief, that we're going to need a surreply after that
18 underlying motion.

19 And so we would ask as part of any scheduling
20 order that Your Honor (indiscernible) today too deals with
21 the 2019 access motion itself that they be ordered to file
22 their reply brief in time for us to file a surreply, given
23 the fact that they kept their comments somewhat dry in their
24 first motion.

25 But so that the reason I think it would be better,

1 Your Honor, to have Judge Fitzgerald's motion decided first
2 -- and I'm not intending to presuppose that I understand how
3 Your Honor will come out on that -- would be -- otherwise it
4 would have -- the whole hearing would have to happen again
5 presumably in front of Judge Fitzgerald unless she were in
6 the courtroom during this dual hearing.

7 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, that's a concern that
8 would arise in any situation where a mediator might be
9 appointed. So I don't understand counselor's argument on
10 that point.

11 I think Your Honor made it -- said it best, that
12 they are presupposing that it is so clear about how Your
13 Honor is going to rule on their referral motion.

14 Like I said before, I don't know that I've ever
15 seen a case where a motion gets filed and an objection gets
16 filed and the court just refers to mediation and doesn't
17 even have a hearing on it. Your Honor may be familiar with
18 those instances, but I am not, and I don't think it's
19 appropriate here.

20 But what I'm hearing from the TAC counsel are a
21 number of arguments for why they think Judge Fitzgerald
22 should be appointed. But what I'm still not hearing is why
23 that needs to come first other than they think that the
24 Fitzgerald referral motion will be granted.

25 MR. MACLAY: And, Your Honor, could I respond?

1 THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Maclay.

2 MR. MACLAY: As a response to that, Your Honor,
3 it's quite simple. If we were to have argument on motions
4 that you were to decide should be presented in some form to
5 Judge Fitzgerald, in the absence of Judge Fitzgerald, we
6 would have to do the whole thing twice and that would be
7 wasted. It would make a lot more sense and I think it would
8 be a lot more useful to Your Honor to have the benefit if
9 you decide it would be useful to you, of course, but to have
10 Judge Fitzgerald's analysis of the arguments of the parties
11 prior to hearing oral argument from the parties prior to
12 making your decision.

13 If Judge Fitzgerald is going to be useful it's at
14 the earlier stages is the point we're making, Your Honor,
15 and that's why we don't think it should essentially be
16 mooted out by having a potentially wasteful proceeding when
17 the issues are ripe now.

18 The issue of whether or not she should be
19 appointed is totally briefed, there's nothing left to be
20 done about it except for a hearing, and potentially, Your
21 Honor, (indiscernible) on the papers if you thought it was
22 appropriate, although we think a hearing might be useful,
23 but that's for Your Honor to decide.

24 But we do feel that to wait for the Fitzgerald
25 appointment would risk mootting it out and would be

1 inefficient, and that's useful to Your Honor potentially.

2 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else wish to be
3 heard?

4 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, I apologize for
5 interrupting, it's Darren Azman again. If I could just
6 introduce one additional fact, and it does -- this does go
7 to the merits and it'll certainly be discussed at whatever
8 hearing occurs on the referral motion, but I think it's
9 important to bring to Your Honor's attention at this stage.

10 Judge Fitzgerald has a conflict. She has told --
11 her colleague, who does work for her in connection with the
12 mediation, has told us that there is a conflict, and
13 Honeywell is not going to waive that conflict. I know that
14 TAC disagrees that there's a conflict, but Judge Fitzgerald
15 has already prejudged the relief that we are seeking to the
16 access motions, she entered an order in these exact Chapter
17 11 cases the 9 Garlock access to the 2019 exhibits. I don't
18 think the conflict could be any clearer, and in fact there
19 are states that have statutes that show -- that state that
20 this is a direct conflict, and Florida is one of those
21 states. We haven't done exhaustive research about whether
22 it's Delaware or Pennsylvania, but this -- I don't see how
23 there's not a conflict here.

24 MR. MACLAY: Your Honor, Kevin Maclay for the
25 NARCO TAC.

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. MACLAY: Two points.

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 MR. MACLAY: First of all we did our own research
5 on this and we couldn't find single precedent supporting any
6 kind of a conflict.

7 And secondly, I don't believe that what you heard
8 from Mr. Azman is correct with respect to Judge Fitzgerald's
9 position, and in fact if Ed Harron is on the line I believe
10 he has had a communication with her about the conflict issue
11 and I would ask that he speak now if he's on the phone.

12 MR. HERRON: I am on the phone. Hello, Judge
13 Gross, this is Ed Herron.

14 We filed a joinder on behalf of the NARCO future
15 claims representative, and I believe our FLINTCO future
16 claims representative also joined in the committees'
17 position, and we've had several conversations, I, along with
18 Kevin Macclay's partner, Leslie Kelleher, I've spoken to
19 Judge Fitzgerald about this conflict issue.

20 What Judge Fitzgerald told us was that she doesn't
21 believe that she is conflicted, and her only hesitation from
22 becoming involved was her first and foremost priority was to
23 attempt to resolve the issues and dispute in the NARCO case
24 where she's been appointed by the court and she wouldn't
25 want this process to disrupt anything she's doing this.

1 But she had told us that she doesn't see a
2 conflict, she'd be happy to assist the Court, to the extent
3 the Court believes her assistance would be useful, and she
4 had told us that Honeywell had yet to articulate the basis
5 upon which they were asserting a conflict.

6 And also, Your Honor, I think Mr. Azman said just
7 a few moments ago that he was informed by one of the judge's
8 colleagues that it was her view that she had a conflict.
9 I'm wondering if he misspoke, because that's directly
10 contrary to what Judge Fitzgerald told us directly.

11 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, all I can say and that I
12 said before, my colleague, Mr. Calandra, was speaking with
13 Mr. Shiner, who is Judge Fitzgerald's colleague, I was in
14 the room, the phone was on speaker, and I heard in no
15 uncertain terms that Mr. Shiner believes that there is a
16 conflict.

17 You know, perhaps it would be best for Judge
18 Fitzgerald to weigh in on this, because I do think that to
19 the extent Judge Fitzgerald is conflicted, and as I said
20 Honeywell is not going to consent, I think that all but guts
21 the argument that these should be referred to anybody.

22 Certainly the TAC is not proposing, and they
23 haven't said it yet in any of their pleadings, that it would
24 be efficient to have these heard by a mediator who has no
25 idea about what we're talking about in these cases. I think

1 that that is the basis for their argument. But perhaps if
2 -- you know, I don't think it makes sense for us to argue
3 back and forth about who said what.

4 MR. HERRON: Right.

5 MR. AZMAN: You know, I told Your Honor what I
6 heard and Ed said what he heard --

7 MR. HERRON: Yeah.

8 MR. AZMAN: -- and that's fine.

9 MR. HERRON: And we -- just to respond to that
10 briefly.

11 Mr. Shiner was also on the call we had with the
12 judge, so there's something being lost in translation. It
13 sounds to me that if Honeywell is going to hang its hat on
14 this conflict issue that's probably the gaiting issue that
15 we need to brief.

16 MR. AZMAN: Well, I don't think that we're hanging
17 our hat on this issue, it is just one additional fact that I
18 wanted to introduce for the judge to be aware of because it
19 would be coming down the road.

20 I still rely, Your Honor, on all the arguments I
21 made before, and I think it's also Your Honor's view, at
22 least coming in in this hearing, that the access motion
23 should be heard at the very least together with the referral
24 motion. So that's not the only issue we see in the ordering
25 here.

1 THE COURT: All right. Well I do believe that the
2 motions should be heard together. If in fact I refer the
3 matter to Judge Fitzgerald it may have been a waste or
4 perhaps Judge Fitzgerald would feel satisfied to review the
5 transcript, I'm not sure which, but because parties will be
6 traveling, you know, some distance, I just think it makes
7 sense to hear both motions at the same time before me and
8 then I will make the decision on both. I may make the
9 decision to refer the matter, I may make the decision not to
10 refer the matter, but that remains to be seen.

11 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, I have one additional
12 question.

13 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Azman.

14 MR. AZMAN: This is Darren Azman for Honeywell.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. AZMAN: I think it would be helpful for the
17 NARCO TAC to articulate their view.

18 If -- assuming that Judge Fitzgerald agrees that
19 she is conflicted or we are able to demonstrate that she's
20 conflicted, however it comes about, the Court acknowledges
21 that Judge Fitzgerald is conflicted, is it still the NARCO
22 TAC position that some third party should be brought in to
23 mediate this, or is that the basis of their motion? Because
24 I think that will help the Court if we can get some
25 clarification from Judge Fitzgerald. Perhaps the motion is

1 mooted if that's their only basis.

2 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask Mr. MacLay that
3 question.

4 MR. MACLAY: Certainly, Judge Gross.

5 The short answer is no, we don't have a different
6 (indiscernible) if Judge Fitzgerald for whatever reason is
7 unable or unwilling to accept the appointment we would
8 withdraw the motion.

9 I would note however that we are not seeking
10 mediation, that's certainly one of the legal bases that Your
11 Honor could appoint her, but we are seeking to have her as a
12 court-appointed expert for report and recommendation,
13 because we thought that would be the most appropriate and
14 efficient use of her time and her knowledge, just for
15 clarification.

16 But no, we do not seek to have a different person
17 appointed for whatever reason Judge Fitzgerald can't do it.

18 THE COURT: Well let me ask this question. How do
19 we resolve the question of whether there is a conflict or
20 not?

21 MR. MACLAY: Well, Your Honor, the tricky thing
22 about that is in their papers they claimed a conflict but
23 they didn't cite any authority, and so we did our own
24 research to try to figure out what they must be relying on
25 and we couldn't come up with a single authority to support

1 their position.

2 So as things stand we filed our motion and they
3 opposed it, and we did not plan to file a reply because we
4 think it's adequately briefed. But if they feel the need to
5 file a supplemental brief just on the conflicts issue we'd
6 be happy to respond and as your court to set a scheduling
7 order to lay out the timing of those papers before we have
8 this one hearing. If the interest is going to be efficiency
9 we should have all the arguments on the table beforehand and
10 not have them, you know, thrust upon us by surprise at the
11 hearing.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Azman, will the brief you're
13 filing, the roughly 30-page brief, will that include
14 discussion of the conflict?

15 MR. AZMAN: Yes, we're happy to address that issue
16 in the responsive briefing. But I just want to remind Your
17 Honor resolution of the conflict issue -- the TAC has said
18 they'd withdraw their motion to appoint the referee if Judge
19 Fitzgerald is conflicted for one reason or another, however
20 that's proven out, but the same cannot be said if there is
21 no conflict -- I'm sorry -- if there is a conflict. If
22 there is a conflict that doesn't mean that we are not -- no
23 longer going to oppose the appointment of Judge Fitzgerald,
24 we still will oppose the appointment of Judge Fitzgerald.
25 It doesn't turn on that.

1 So I don't think the ordering is impacted in any
2 way by that argument. I still think that these need to be
3 heard at the same hearing, as Your Honor has already told
4 the parties.

5 But yes, we are happy to address the conflict
6 issue. Perhaps it'll be as a supplemental brief as the TAC
7 suggested, that might make more sense. And in the interim
8 perhaps the TAC and us can have a discussion with Judge
9 Fitzgerald to get some clarification from her on whether
10 there's a conflict. I think everybody on this call would
11 agree that if Judge Fitzgerald sees a conflict then there
12 certainly is a conflict, but even if she does not believe so
13 we still believe there is one and would like an opportunity
14 to demonstrate to the Court why there is a conflict.

15 MR. MACLAY: Yeah, if I could speak to that, Your
16 Honor?

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. MACLAY: What they're essentially saying now
19 is they want to have the parties talk to Judge Fitzgerald
20 now and then have Your Honor hear all the arguments. That's
21 the opposite of the efficiencies that they were claiming a
22 few minutes ago.

23 If we're going to go together, the two parties, to
24 talk with Judge Fitzgerald and essentially argue to her
25 about why she is or isn't conflicted, well then it's already

1 taking that issue and putting it in front. If we're going
2 to do that we might as well just hear the emergency motion
3 first. That's exactly what --

4 MR. AZMAN: No, I'm merely proposing that Judge
5 Fitzgerald say yes or no she thinks she's conflicted. I
6 don't -- I'm not proposing that we make our arguments to
7 her. That I propose will be heard by Your Honor.

8 MR. MACLAY: Well I think Mr. Harron made clear
9 that she just told us what she thinks about that, so I'm not
10 quite sure what you're proposing then.

11 MR. AZMAN: Well --

12 THE COURT: Well let me ask you this question.
13 Could I issue a rule to show cause or something similar to
14 Judge Fitzgerald asking her on the record whether she has a
15 conflict or not?

16 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, we don't see any issue
17 with doing that.

18 THE COURT: How about --

19 MR. MACLAY: The only issue with doing that, Your
20 Honor, is that it puts the burden on her to show the absence
21 of a conflict when the burden is actually on Honeywell to
22 show that there is a conflict. It seems to reverse the
23 order of the burden of proof.

24 MR. AZMAN: I don't think --

25 MR. MACLAY: It's difficult -- in other words

1 Judge Fitzgerald should have the benefit of hearing what
2 Honeywell's conflicts argument is before responding to it.
3 I mean she could --

4 THE COURT: Well whether she has -- whether Judge
5 Fitzgerald has a conflict or not the matter is still in my
6 hands whether to refer it or not. Now if she has a conflict
7 then it seems to me that's the end of the story, there's no
8 referral. If she does not have a conflict then of course
9 the issue is ripe.

10 MR. AZMAN: We agree, Your Honor, and I don't
11 think there's any type of burden shifting going on with that
12 as the TAC suggests. Judge Fitzgerald isn't a party to this
13 proceeding, she's not -- you know, she doesn't have any
14 burden whatsoever, the TAC is right, but Your Honor can
15 still request that a party outside of the proceeding show
16 cause for whether or not she has a conflict or anything
17 else.

18 So we would agree with that approach, and that
19 would potentially, like I said, moot the referral motion if
20 she acknowledges there's a conflict or otherwise demonstrate
21 to the Court that she thinks there might be a conflict. And
22 of course if that's not the case then we would proceed as
23 Your Honor suggested and have a hearing on both of the
24 motions. I think that's an adequate way to proceed.

25 MR. MACLAY: And, Your Honor --

1 THE COURT: I mean I'm reluctant to have the
2 parties talk to Judge Fitzgerald about a conflict. I think
3 we might wind up with the same problem we're having now, and
4 that is one of translation of what Judge Fitzgerald has to
5 say.

6 MR. AZMAN: I completely agree, Your Honor.

7 MR. MACLAY: Yeah, that's fine, Your Honor. If
8 Your Honor wants to hear from Judge Fitzgerald on this point
9 we don't have an objection to that.

10 THE COURT: And by the way, I've had no
11 conversation with Judge Fitzgerald and I would not have a
12 discussion with her outside of the parties' presence. Is
13 that -- do you agree with that position?

14 MR. MACLAY: If Your Honor were to appoint her
15 certainly we would expect that Your Honor would have
16 conversations with her without the parties' presence. Prior
17 to that point I think it's up to Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me give that
19 some thought. But let's talk about scheduling the motions
20 at the moment -- the access motion and the referral motion.
21 And I recognize that NARCO takes a strong view that the
22 referral motion should be heard first, but I just think that
23 from an economy of time basis they ought to be heard
24 together.

25 Now, tell me about your brief, Mr. Azman, and when

1 you expect to file that. And I'm not pressing you.

2 MR. AZMAN: No, I understand. We were simply
3 going to be mindful of the rules under Delaware law, this
4 wasn't gamesmanship, we were going to file when it was due,
5 which is three days I believe -- well Mr. Edelson can
6 confirm that for me as your local counsel -- but I believe
7 it's three days or two days before the hearing.

8 So when we'll be ready. I would say we'd be in a
9 position to file it no later than the middle of next week,
10 which would be August 30 -- let's say August 31st would be
11 fine.

12 MR. MACLAY: Okay. And, Your Honor, on behalf of
13 the NARCO TAC we would ask for a two-week period to review
14 that paper and potentially file a surreply given the fact
15 that the original motion was only half the length of the
16 reply and in our view omitted arguments that we expect to
17 see in the reply, so we feel like we're going to need that
18 opportunity.

19 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, there's no basis for a
20 surreply here. I will submit to the Court that our reply,
21 it literally takes each argument that the TAC makes and
22 quotes it in a section heading and it has two to three
23 sentences citing to Judge Stark's district court opinion and
24 explains where in that opinion the ruling can be found that
25 directly contradicts the TAC's argument.

1 I don't even know what they're going to reply with
2 or what they could reply where. I'm sure that they can
3 draft something, but I just don't think a surreply is
4 justified under the circumstances.

5 And perhaps maybe after the reply is filed if the
6 NARCO TAC still believes that there is cause or a basis to
7 have to file a surreply we can readdress that, but before
8 they have even seen a reply I find it hard to believe that
9 they can justify the need for a surreply. I think they need
10 to read it first.

11 MR. MACLAY: And, Your Honor, just to be clear,
12 we're asking for a two-week period for the opportunity to
13 file a surreply. Obviously if we get their reply and don't
14 think it needs a surreply we wouldn't file one. But a 15-
15 page motion followed by a 30-page reply speaks for itself.
16 Every single rule in this country gives you far fewer pages
17 for a reply brief than a main brief for a reason, it's
18 supposed to be a lot shorter (indiscernible) paper. Fifteen
19 and 30 doesn't fit within that paradigm, so there's at least
20 reason to suspect that we're going to need a surreply.

21 What we're asking for is that the schedule
22 accommodate the potential need, while recognizing that if we
23 don't need it we wouldn't take it.

24 THE COURT: All right. Well here's what we'll do.
25 I will build in time, which we will discuss in a moment, for

1 a surreply, but will have -- if you decide that you would
2 like to file a surreply first you'll have discussion with
3 Mr. Azman, and absent agreement between you you'll come back
4 to me and ask for permission to file a surreply.

5 MR. MACLAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. AZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. That's
8 acceptable.

9 THE COURT: Good. All right. So I am going issue
10 a rule to show cause to Judge Fitzgerald. She's not a party
11 to this matter though is she?

12 MR. HERRON: No. No, she's not.

13 THE COURT: Well maybe I'll do a letter then.
14 I'll do something to get her response.

15 MR. HERRON: If you want to tell her the NARCO TAC
16 wouldn't have a problem with that, Your Honor, I don't know
17 Honeywell's position on it, but we'd be fine with that for
18 efficiency sake.

19 MR. AZMAN: We're fine with whether it's an order
20 to show cause, a letter, a -- well a phone call as Your
21 Honor said is probably not the best route, but we'd be fine
22 with anything that gets Judge Fitzgerald to explain her
23 position to Your Honor so that you can make an informed
24 decision.

25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's what I'll do

1 then. I will write to her and ask for a response.

2 MR. AZMAN: With that letter be on the docket or
3 is that just a letter that'll be -- that will copy the
4 various parties?

5 THE COURT: It would be on the docket.

6 MR. AZMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Yes. Now, let's talk about scheduling
8 these motions. Let me look --

9 (Pause)

10 THE COURT: Don't get excited with me. How is
11 October 6? Is that much later than the parties expected or
12 is it about the right time?

13 MR. AZMAN: I think I can answer for both of us.
14 The TAC probably thinks that it's just enough time and
15 Honeywell just thinks that's too late. But if Your Honor's
16 calendar does not permit an earlier date, you know, that's
17 what it is. Does Your Honor have an earlier date that
18 would --

19 THE COURT: Well I do, Mr. Azman, I have
20 October 14 -- I mean I'm sorry -- September 14, but that may
21 be too soon.

22 MR. AZMAN: Uh-huh.

23 MR. MACLAY: Yeah, that would be too soon.

24 MR. AZMAN: Even I would agree, that's probably
25 too soon.

1 THE COURT: I also have -- well I don't want to do
2 it the -- no, I don't want to do it then because I have a
3 full-day hearing the next day that I have to prepare for.
4 October 6 is really the first day that I've got a full day.

5 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, that's fine with us if
6 it's acceptable to the TAC.

7 MR. MACLAY: It's fine with the TAC, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. HERRON: Your Honor, I'm sorry, this is Ed
10 Herron. I have a commitment on the west coast on the 6th.
11 I'm sure I can have one of my other partners attend the
12 hearing, but I'd like to be there in person, if possible.

13 THE COURT: We could do it the 14th.

14 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, I think the 6th was sort
15 of already past the period of time. There are a lot of
16 parties here involved, right, there's a number of parties
17 who filed joinders. I don't know that we're going to find a
18 date that is soon enough and is also going to work for every
19 single individual lawyer.

20 MR. MACLAY: And of course it's fine for the NARCO
21 TAC, Your Honor, and I would note there's really no exigency
22 here, but I (indiscernible) Your Honor's decision, but the
23 14th works for the NARCO TAC.

24 THE COURT: Does that work --

25 MR. HERRON: The 14th of October, that's fine with

1 me.

2 THE COURT: All right. It's the 14th. Again, I
3 don't know -- I know the matter has been pending and we had
4 three judges involved at one time, so -- and that didn't
5 make sense so all the cases were reassigned to me, so I know
6 it's taking some time here, but October 14 probably makes
7 sense. It's only eight days after the 6th.

8 MR. AZMAN: It's fine, Your Honor, for Honeywell.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. AZMAN: It's not a problem.

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MR. AZMAN: I understand the situation.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. HERRON: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate
15 that accommodation.

16 THE COURT: Absolutely. All right. So I write a
17 letter to Judge Fitzgerald, there is a reply brief filed by
18 Honeywell, then the parties --

19 MR. MACLAY: That will be on the 31st; is that
20 right, Your Honor?

21 THE COURT: Well let's see, can we have a date
22 from you, Mr. Azman, as to -- an outside date and be
23 cautious?

24 MR. AZMAN: Yes, of course. Now given that the
25 hearing is not until October 14th I'm going to be more

1 conservative with the date I give you, if that's okay.

2 THE COURT: That's all right.

3 MR. AZMAN: Excuse me just a second, I'm just
4 looking at my calendar here. Why don't we say by
5 September 23rd. That would give everyone three weeks to see
6 it, which I think is more than three weeks actually. Yeah,
7 one, two --

8 MR. MACLAY: That's during Rosh Hashanah and Yom
9 Kippur, we'd rather have it a little bit earlier than that.

10 MR. AZMAN: I agree with my colleague. Thank you
11 for pointing that out.

12 THE COURT: Yeah. How about the 9th of September?

13 MR. AZMAN: I'm traveling on the 8th and 9th and
14 that entire weekend. Could we do the following week perhaps
15 on maybe a Tuesday or Wednesday, the 13th or the 14th?
16 Would that work for Your Honor?

17 THE COURT: Tuesday the 13th works fine.

18 MR. AZMAN: Okay. Let's do that.

19 MR. MACLAY: That's fine for NARCO TAC too.

20 MR. HERRON: That's fine.

21 THE COURT: And then you'll have discussion -- if
22 NARCO would like to file a surreply you'll have discussion
23 and you'll come back to me if there's not agreement.

24 MR. AZMAN: Absolutely.

25 MR. MACLAY: Absolutely, Judge.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MS. RAMSEY: Judge Gross, this is Natalie Ramsey,
3 we filed joinders on behalf of a couple of law firms.

4 I just wanted to make sure that the opportunity to
5 file a surreply was going to be made available to us as well
6 on the same terms that the Court outlined for the NARCO
7 trust.

8 THE COURT: I don't see why not.

9 MS. RAMSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Unless Mr. MacLay gives me a good
11 reason I think that's fine.

12 MR. MACLAY: Well I think Natalie's suggestion is
13 excellent, Your Honor, it should probably extent to all
14 other parties, including, you know, the STR that Mr. Herron
15 represents, et cetera.

16 MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, I think you may have been
17 asking whether it was acceptable to Honeywell.

18 THE COURT: Yes, that's what I -- I'm sorry.

19 MR. AZMAN: No, it's okay. I'm okay with this,
20 but I will caution the Court that we may have concerns down
21 the road if 10 parties think they need to be filing 20-page
22 long surreplies that say all the same things but in a
23 different way. And I don't think that's what anyone intends
24 to do, but I just want to put that on the record know so
25 that Your Honor is aware of that concern we have. It's an

1 issue and I won't get into, but it's an issue that's come up
2 in the past and the court has ruled accordingly in the
3 Western Direct of Pennsylvania on that very issue. So I
4 just want to bring it to Your Honor's attention. But that's
5 fine.

6 THE COURT: Well I don't want to have to read, you
7 know, many, many surreplies.

8 MR. AZMAN: That makes two of us.

9 MS. RAMSEY: Your Honor, yes, Natalie Ramsey
10 again.

11 We will commit to the Court to work with the NARCO
12 TAC to minimize any duplication of argument, understanding
13 that, you know, we'll be coming either to Honeywell or to
14 the Court in any event if we believe that a surreply is
15 necessary and can address specifics at that point.

16 MR. AZMAN: Right. And if Your Honor were to
17 permit parties to file joinders to the NARCO surreply, if
18 one is filed, that would probably obviate your court's
19 concern about the voluminous number of papers that could
20 theoretically be filed.

21 THE COURT: That's right. All right. So other
22 parties will coordinate with NARCO, and to the extent they
23 need to file a brief surreply, assuming that that is all
24 right with Honeywell or with the Court after discussion,
25 that will be fine.

1 MR. AZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 MS. RAMSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 MR. MACLAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 MR. HERRON: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right, everyone, anything else?

6 MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor -- Your Honor, if I
7 could. This is James O'Neill. I just wanted to address the
8 Court very briefly.

9 We represent W.R. Grace, one of the -- one of your
10 new debtors.

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MR. O'NEILL: And, Your Honor, we filed a very
13 brief response and objection to the motion. We have been in
14 contact with counsel for Honeywell and we may be able to
15 resolve things that would make our objection unnecessary to
16 have to move forward, but in the event that we do not we
17 would -- you know, we would plan to attend the hearing and
18 press our objection at the appropriate time.

19 THE COURT: Mr. O'Neill, it will be a pleasure to
20 have you there.

21 MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.

22 And one more question for Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. O'NEILL: Did you want to set a time for the
25 October 14th or did you want to do that after today and put

1 it in an order or something?

2 THE COURT: Well, Mr. O'Neill, you read my mind
3 because Ms. Garusi (ph) came out and asked me what time.

4 MR. O'NEILL: Okay.

5 THE COURT: So let me ask the parties. You know,
6 I can start at 9:30, I can start at 10 o'clock. I know
7 parties will be traveling. Is there any preference, you
8 know, for 9:30 versus 10 o'clock?

9 MR. AZMAN: From Honeywell I think 10 a.m. is
10 better if it's between the two of those times.

11 THE COURT: Would you rather have it 10:30?

12 MR. AZMAN: 10:30 would be better, Your Honor.
13 The train ride up is not too long, but it's also not too
14 short.

15 THE COURT: That -- you're coming from Washington?

16 MR. AZMAN: New York, and I meant down.

17 THE COURT: Oh, you're coming down. Okay. All
18 right. Let's do 10:30.

19 MR. AZMAN: That works for us. Thank you.

20 MR. MACLAY: That's fine with the NARCO TAC too.

21 MR. ISENBERG: Your Honor, just to jump in
22 briefly, this is Adam Isenberg from Saul Ewing, we've been
23 counsel to Owens Corning.

24 We also filed a very brief response. We have
25 spoken to Honeywell, we are hopeful that we can resolve our

1 issues in advance of the hearing, but just as a heads up to
2 Your Honor and the other parties, we may be showing up at
3 that hearing as well with a very narrow focus in terms of
4 Owens Corning's interest.

5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Isenberg, you'll be
6 welcome of course.

7 MR. ISENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Anyone else have anything similar?

9 MR. SCHEPACARTER: Your Honor, this is Richard
10 Schepacarter for the U.S. Trustee's Office. How are you?

11 THE COURT: How are you, Mr. Schepacarter?

12 MR. SCHEPACARTER: Very well. Thank you.

13 Some of the replies has left some of the issues
14 that U.S. Trustee may or may not have an issue with, so
15 we're going reserve all right to either -- most likely we'll
16 appear at the hearing without question, but we reserve our
17 right to file something in response in case one of the
18 parties addresses an issue that the U.S. Trustee is
19 interested in.

20 THE COURT: All right. I understand your position
21 and that of course is acceptable.

22 MR. SCHEPACARTER: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 MR. MADRON: Your Honor, good afternoon, it's
24 Jason Madron of Richards, Layton & Finger on behalf of
25 Armstrong World Industries.

1 Just answering Your Honor's call, we also filed a
2 very limited objection and reservation of rights on a very
3 discrete issue. We may very well appear at the hearing, but
4 I anticipate that our role will be extremely limited.

5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Madron. Thank you.

6 All right. So hearing no one else I will write a
7 letter to Judge Fitzgerald, and I will see you all on the
8 14th of October at 10:30 and plan to argue both motions and
9 we'll take it from there.

10 MR. AZMAN: And, Your Honor, one point of
11 clarification, and you obviously don't need to make this
12 determination right now, but I took Your Honor's oral orders
13 to mean that the access motions would be heard at that
14 hearing first and then afterward the referral motion. Is
15 that correct?

16 THE COURT: That's right.

17 MR. AZMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you very much.

18 THE COURT: All right everyone, I wish you all a
19 good day and we all have work to do and we'll get it down.

20 MR. AZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 MR. MACLAY: Your Honor, one final clarification
22 question.

23 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. MacLay.

24 MR. MACLAY: With respect to Honeywell's position
25 that they may file a separate brief addressing standing,

1 would that also be filed at the same time as the reply
2 brief?

3 MR. AZMAN: Yes, that's -- that works for us if
4 that's okay with the TAC counsel.

5 MR. MACLAY: Yeah, absolutely.

6 THE COURT: All right. Very well.

7 All right, counsel, I wish you all a good day, and
8 if matters arise that require my attention don't hesitate to
9 call chambers and we will arrange another call.

10 MR. AZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: All right everyone, good day to you.

12 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 1:45 PM)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Dawn South

AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-408

Date: August 26, 2016

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

[& - appoint]

Page 1

&	1:00 2:18	920 5:4	addressing 43:25
& 2:9 4:9 5:1,9,10	1:45 44:12	9:30 41:6,8	adequate 16:11
5:17 6:1,17 8:2,7	2	9th 37:12,13	29:24
42:24	2 3:11 14:18	a	adequately 26:4
0	20 38:21	a.m. 41:9	adjudicated 8:18
00-03837 1:23	20005-5802 5:21	aaert 45:8	8:20
00-04471 1:7	2016 2:17 45:12	ab 16:2	adjudicating
01-01139 2:8	2019 3:2,11 7:5,6	able 24:19 40:14	10:11
01-02094 2:5	7:7,20,21 10:17	absence 19:5	administered 1:9
01-02471 2:1	10:23 11:1 15:14	28:20	1:17,21,25 2:7,10
02-10429 1:19	17:13,21 20:17	absent 33:3	adopt 16:4
02-12687 1:4	2207 6:12	absolutely 12:17	advance 12:20
03-10495 1:11	222 4:4	36:16 37:24,25	42:1
04-11300 1:15	23 2:17	44:5	advisory 3:6,10
1	23rd 37:5	acands 1:5	afternoon 7:2,24
1 3:10	26 45:12	accept 25:7	8:1,6 13:19,20
10 38:21 41:6,8,9	3	acceptable 33:8	42:23
1000 6:20	3 14:19	35:6 38:17 42:21	afterward 43:14
10017 4:20	30 12:19 14:7	access 3:1 8:4,18	ago 14:19 22:7
10173-1922 4:12	26:13 31:10 32:15	8:19 9:7 10:6,6,11	27:22
10:30 41:11,12,18	32:19	10:23 11:17,24	agree 27:11 29:10
43:8	300 45:24	12:7,19 13:11	29:18 30:6,13
11 1:5,8,12,16,20	31st 31:10 36:19	14:20 15:1 17:13	34:24 37:10
1:24 2:2,6,9 9:20	330 45:23	17:21 20:16,17	agreement 9:14
20:17	340 4:11	23:22 30:20 43:13	33:3 37:23
1100 5:20	4	accommodate	agrees 24:18
1101 4:4	408 45:8	32:22	al 1:9,16,20,24 2:6
11501 45:25	5	accommodation	2:9 5:10
123 6:3	555 4:18	36:15	aluminum 1:20
13th 37:15,17	6	accurate 45:4	american 3:5,8
14 34:20,20 36:6	6 34:11 35:4	acknowledged	analysis 19:10
14th 35:13,23,25	6th 35:10,14 36:7	17:16	answer 25:5 34:13
36:2,25 37:15	8	acknowledges	answering 43:1
40:25 43:8	824 2:14	24:20 29:20	anticipate 43:4
15 14:8 32:14	844 6:11	adam 4:22 41:22	anybody 22:21
1700 4:19	8th 37:13	add 13:12	apologize 12:20
17th 5:12	9	additional 13:7	20:4
19081 4:5	9 20:17	20:6 23:17 24:11	appeal 11:1
19109 6:5	919 5:11	address 8:3 9:11	appear 42:16 43:3
19801 5:5,13 6:13		26:15 27:5 39:15	appoint 3:11 7:7
6:21		40:7	7:21 8:25 10:15
		addresses 42:18	14:14 17:4 25:11
			26:18 30:14

<p>appointed 10:16 10:21 11:4 14:2,2 14:14,22 15:24,25 16:19 18:9,22 19:19 21:24 25:12 25:17</p> <p>appointment 7:20 16:18 19:25 25:7 26:23,24</p> <p>appreciate 7:25 36:14</p> <p>approach 29:18</p> <p>appropriate 18:19 19:22 25:13 40:18</p> <p>approved 10:24</p> <p>argue 17:3,6 23:2 27:24 43:8</p> <p>argument 7:14 9:15,25 15:4,21 16:12 18:9 19:3 19:11 22:21 23:1 27:2 29:2 31:21 31:25 39:12</p> <p>arguments 11:17 12:21,25 14:3 15:19 16:2 17:8 17:10 18:21 19:10 23:20 26:9 27:20 28:6 31:16</p> <p>arisen 8:10</p> <p>armstrong 1:8 5:2 42:25</p> <p>arrange 44:9</p> <p>articulate 22:4 24:17</p> <p>arts 6:4</p> <p>asbestos 3:6,9 7:16</p> <p>asked 41:3</p> <p>asking 8:23 9:1 13:3 28:14 32:12 32:21 38:17</p>	<p>asserting 22:5</p> <p>assigned 7:15,16</p> <p>assist 22:2</p> <p>assistance 22:3</p> <p>assuming 24:18 39:23</p> <p>attempt 9:24 21:23</p> <p>attend 35:11 40:17</p> <p>attention 20:9 39:4 44:8</p> <p>attorney 4:3,10 4:17 5:2,10,18 6:2 6:10,18</p> <p>august 2:17 31:10 31:10 45:12</p> <p>authority 25:23 25:25</p> <p>available 38:5</p> <p>avenue 4:4,11,18 6:4</p> <p>aware 23:18 38:25</p> <p>azman 4:14 8:2,6 8:7,14 11:22 12:1 12:12,15,17 13:9 13:16 14:6 18:7 20:4,5 21:8 22:6 22:11 23:5,8,16 24:11,13,14,14,16 26:12,15 28:4,11 28:16,24 29:10 30:6,25 31:2,19 33:3,7,19 34:2,6 34:13,19,22,24 35:5,14 36:8,10 36:12,22,24 37:3 37:10,13,18,24 38:16,19 39:8,16 40:1 41:9,12,16 41:19 43:10,17,20 44:3,10</p>	<p>b</p> <p>b 2:20</p> <p>back 23:3 33:3 37:23</p> <p>bankruptcy 1:1 2:13,22</p> <p>bases 25:10</p> <p>basis 22:4 23:1 24:23 25:1 30:23 31:19 32:6</p> <p>becoming 21:22</p> <p>behalf 21:14 31:12 38:3 42:24</p> <p>believe 7:3 17:14 21:7,9,15,21 24:1 27:12,13 31:5,6 32:8 39:14</p> <p>believes 8:14,16 8:19 22:3,15 32:6</p> <p>benefit 19:8 29:1</p> <p>best 18:11 22:17 33:21</p> <p>better 10:20 17:25 41:10,12</p> <p>bit 12:3 14:11 37:9</p> <p>body 15:15</p> <p>brandon 2:25</p> <p>brevity 17:15</p> <p>brief 12:19 14:8 16:11 17:13,17,22 23:15 26:5,12,13 27:6 30:25 32:17 32:17 36:17 39:23 40:13 41:24 43:25 44:2</p> <p>briefed 16:9 19:19 26:4</p> <p>briefing 9:5 26:16</p> <p>briefly 23:10 40:8 41:22</p> <p>briefs 15:19 16:2</p>	<p>bring 20:9 39:4</p> <p>broad 6:3</p> <p>brought 24:22</p> <p>build 32:25</p> <p>burden 28:20,21 28:23 29:11,14</p> <p>c</p> <p>c 4:1 7:1 45:1,1</p> <p>calandra 22:12</p> <p>calendar 34:16 37:4</p> <p>call 8:15 10:17 23:11 27:10 33:20 43:1 44:9,9</p> <p>capacity 10:21</p> <p>caplin 5:17</p> <p>care 7:17</p> <p>case 1:4,7,11,15 1:19,23 2:1,5,8 9:19,24 13:4,25 16:16 18:15 21:23 29:22 42:17</p> <p>cases 7:15,16 9:20 12:18 20:17 22:25 36:5</p> <p>cause 11:18 28:13 29:16 32:6 33:10 33:20</p> <p>caution 38:20</p> <p>cautious 36:23</p> <p>certain 14:21</p> <p>certainly 20:7 22:22 25:4,10 27:12 30:15</p> <p>certified 45:8</p> <p>certify 45:3</p> <p>cet 45:8</p> <p>cetera 38:15</p> <p>chambers 44:9</p> <p>chapter 1:5,8,12 1:16,20,24 2:2,6,9 9:20 20:16</p>
--	--	--	--

[choose - debtor's]

Page 3

choose 16:4 chose 16:20 circle 5:19 circumstances 32:4 cite 10:14 25:23 citing 31:23 claimants 6:18 claimed 25:22 claiming 27:21 claims 14:23 21:15,16 clarification 24:25 25:15 27:9 43:11,21 clarify 8:24 12:4 clarity 10:10 clear 10:8 14:11 18:12 28:8 32:11 clearer 20:18 coast 35:10 colleague 20:11 22:12,13 37:10 colleagues 22:8 combustion 1:12 come 18:3,23 25:25 33:3 37:23 39:1 comes 24:20 coming 23:19,22 39:13 41:15,17 comments 17:23 commit 39:11 commitment 35:10 committee 3:6,10 committees 21:16 communication 21:10 company 1:16 2:2 3:5,9 compared 17:15	complete 9:5 completely 30:6 complexities 14:11 complicated 14:10 15:13 conaway 6:17 concept 17:7 concern 18:7 38:25 39:19 concerned 11:8 concerns 38:20 concluded 44:12 conclusion 17:4 conference 7:4 confirm 31:6 confirmed 11:3 conflict 20:10,12 20:13,14,18,20,23 21:6,10,19 22:2,5 22:8,16 23:14 25:19,22 26:14,17 26:21,21,22 27:5 27:10,11,12,14 28:15,21,22 29:5 29:6,8,16,20,21 30:2 conflicted 21:21 22:19 24:19,20,21 26:19 27:25 28:5 conflicts 26:5 29:2 connection 16:8 20:11 consent 22:20 conservative 37:1 consider 16:17 consideration 12:11 14:5 consistent 15:1 consolidate 3:10 7:6 consolidation 7:17	contact 40:14 contemplate 10:19 11:10 contentious 9:4 context 9:22 14:18 15:14,15 continue 3:10 contradicts 31:25 contrary 22:10 convenience 8:9 conversation 30:11 conversations 21:17 30:16 coordinate 39:22 copy 34:3 corning 1:24 4:17 41:23 corning's 42:4 corporation 1:20 2:6 correct 21:8 43:15 cost 10:12,13 costs 9:12 counsel 7:2 8:1,7 15:19 17:8 18:20 31:6 40:14 41:23 44:4,7 counselor's 18:9 country 32:16 45:23 couple 13:20 38:3 course 9:10 13:6 19:9 29:8,22 35:20 36:24 42:6 42:21 court 1:1 2:13 7:2 8:3,5,13,25 10:25 10:25 11:22 12:10 12:14,23 13:5,14 13:19 14:2,14,21 15:24 16:19 17:1 18:16 19:1 20:2	21:1,3,24 22:2,3 24:1,13,15,20,24 25:2,12,18 26:6 26:12 27:14,17 28:12,18 29:4,21 30:1,10,18 31:20 31:23 32:24 33:6 33:9,13,25 34:5,7 34:10,19 35:1,8 35:13,24 36:2,9 36:11,13,16,21 37:2,12,17,21 38:1,6,8,10,18,20 39:2,6,11,14,21 39:24 40:5,8,11 40:19,23 41:2,5 41:11,15,17 42:5 42:8,11,20 43:5 43:16,18,23 44:6 44:11 court's 39:18 courtroom 17:10 18:6 d d 6:2,7 7:1 45:8 darren 4:14 8:2,6 20:5 24:14 date 34:16,17 35:18 36:21,22 37:1 45:12 dawn 3:25 45:3,7 day 12:16 35:3,3,4 35:4 43:19 44:7 44:11 days 31:5,7,7 36:7 dc 5:21 de 4:5 5:5,13 6:13 6:21 deals 17:20 debtor 1:6,13 2:3 2:7 debtor's 11:16,16
---	--	--	--

[debtors - first]

Page 4

debtors 1:10,17 1:21,25 2:10 40:10 decide 7:13 13:6 19:4,9,23 33:1 decided 18:1 decision 14:20 19:12 24:8,9,9 33:24 35:22 delaware 1:2 2:15 4:4 10:14,25,25 20:22 31:3 delay 10:11 delve 14:10 demonstrate 9:23 24:19 27:14 29:20 department 6:9 determination 43:12 determine 11:18 determined 8:17 different 25:5,16 38:23 difficult 28:25 direct 20:20 39:3 directly 15:22 22:9,10 31:25 disagrees 20:14 discovery 11:4,7 discrete 43:3 discuss 7:11,12 11:19 12:2,3 32:25 discussed 20:7 discussion 26:14 27:8 30:12 33:2 37:21,22 39:24 dispute 8:10 14:19 21:23 disrupt 21:25 distance 24:6 district 1:2 10:25 11:2 12:23 31:23	docket 14:18 34:2 34:5 documents 14:17 14:21,23 15:6,15 doing 10:13 15:17 21:25 28:17,19 draft 32:3 drafted 14:16 dry 17:23 drysdale 5:17 dual 18:6 due 31:4 duplication 39:12	entered 20:16 entire 37:14 esq 4:7,14,22 5:7 5:15,23 6:7,15,23 essentially 8:22 19:15 27:18,24 et 1:9,16,20,24 2:6 2:9 5:10 38:15 event 39:14 40:16 everybody 27:10 ewing 4:16 41:22 exact 9:19,20 20:16 exactly 8:24 10:8 28:3 excellent 38:13 excited 34:10 excuse 11:16 37:3 exhaustive 20:21 exhibits 3:2 10:23 11:1 20:17 exigency 35:21 expect 30:15 31:1 31:16 expected 34:11 expert 3:11 7:7,21 10:17 14:2,14 15:25 16:19 25:12 explain 10:20 13:25 33:22 explains 31:24 extent 22:2,19 38:13 39:22 extra 17:5 extremely 43:4	factual 14:3 failed 9:3 familiar 18:17 far 32:16 feel 19:24 24:4 26:4 31:17 fewer 32:16 fifteen 32:18 fifth 4:18 fighting 10:12 figure 25:24 file 11:2 16:11 17:21,22 26:3,5 31:1,4,9,14 32:7 32:13,14 33:2,4 37:22 38:5 39:17 39:23 42:17 43:25 filed 12:20 16:10 18:15,16 21:14 26:2 32:5 35:17 36:17 38:3 39:18 39:20 40:12 41:24 43:1 44:1 filing 14:7 26:13 38:21 final 43:21 finally 14:21 find 21:5 32:8 35:17 finds 9:10 fine 11:19 23:8 30:7 31:11 33:17 33:19,21 35:5,7 35:20,25 36:8 37:17,19,20 38:11 39:5,25 41:20 finger 5:1 42:24 firms 38:3 first 8:17,18,20,22 9:15 10:1,4 11:14 15:8,24 16:7,9,22 17:24 18:1,23 21:4,22 28:3
	e		
	e 2:20,20 4:1,1 5:15 7:1,1 14:19 45:1 earlier 19:14 34:16,17 37:9 economy 30:23 ecr 2:25 ed 21:9,13 23:6 35:9 edelson 4:7 7:24 7:25 8:5 31:5 edwin 6:23 efficiencies 10:4 27:21 efficiency 13:24 26:8 33:18 efficient 10:2,2 22:24 25:14 eight 36:7 either 16:4 39:13 42:15 electronic 45:8 eluded 10:7 emergency 3:8 16:6,16,22 28:2 emery 4:9 8:2,7 enforce 14:17 engineering 1:12	f	
		f 2:20 45:1 fact 10:22 15:12 17:23 20:6,18 21:9 23:17 24:2 31:14 facts 9:19 13:1,9	

[first - honor]

Page 5

30:22 32:10 33:2 35:4 43:14 fit 32:19 fitzgerald 8:25 9:1 10:16,21 11:10 14:1,12,16 15:7,18 16:14,19 17:5,8 18:5,21,24 19:5,5,13,24 20:10,14 21:19,20 22:10,18,19 24:3 24:4,18,21,25 25:6,17 26:19,23 26:24 27:9,11,19 27:24 28:5,14 29:1,5,12 30:2,4,8 30:11 33:10,22 36:17 43:7 fitzgerald's 18:1 19:10 21:8 22:13 flintco 21:15 flintkote 1:16 floor 5:12 florida 20:20 focus 42:3 followed 32:15 following 37:14 foregoing 45:3 foregone 17:4 foremost 21:22 form 10:24 11:2 19:4 forth 23:3 forward 40:16 found 31:24 front 16:13 18:5 28:1 full 12:16 35:3,4 fully 11:9 16:9 funding 9:13 further 12:4 future 6:18 21:14 21:15	g g 7:1 gaiting 23:14 gamesmanship 31:4 garlock 14:19 20:17 garusi 41:3 general 15:14 give 14:20,25 15:19,25 30:18 37:1,5 given 9:13 15:10 17:14,22 31:14 36:24 gives 32:16 38:10 go 12:24 13:6 16:14,25 20:6 27:23 going 8:3 15:3 17:2,17 18:13 19:13 20:13 22:20 23:13 26:8,23 27:23 28:1 29:11 31:3,4,17 32:1,20 33:9 35:17,18 36:25 38:5 42:15 good 7:2,24 8:6 13:19,20 33:9 38:10 42:23 43:19 44:7,11 grace 2:9 5:10 40:9 granted 15:1 18:24 great 34:6 43:17 gross 2:21 7:2 21:13 25:4 38:2 guess 12:3 17:2 guts 22:20	h h 4:22 half 13:13 31:15 hands 29:6 hang 23:13 hanging 23:16 happen 16:13 18:4 happened 9:5 happening 15:22 happy 22:2 26:6 26:15 27:5 hard 32:8 harron 6:23 21:9 28:8 hashanah 37:8 hat 23:13,17 heading 31:22 heads 42:1 hear 7:9,17,22 11:24 12:6,8 13:15,23,24 15:18 15:21 17:8 24:7 27:20 28:2 30:8 heard 8:17,19,20 9:8 10:1 11:14 13:15,23 14:6 16:6,22 20:3 21:7 22:14,24 23:6,6 23:23 24:2 27:3 28:7 30:22,23 43:13 hearing 3:1,4,8 8:8 9:6,8 10:4 11:16 12:5,6,15 12:16 13:2 16:1 18:4,6,17,20,22 19:11,20,22 20:8 23:22 26:8,11 27:3 29:1,23 31:7 35:3,12 36:25 40:17 42:1,3,16 43:3,6,14	hearings 3:11 9:4 hello 21:12 help 24:24 helpful 24:16 herron 21:12,13 23:4,7,9 33:12,15 35:9,10,25 36:14 37:20 38:14 40:4 hesitate 44:8 hesitation 21:21 hon 2:21 honeywell 3:1,4 4:3,10 7:25 8:7,18 9:13,17 10:12 20:13 22:4,20 23:13 24:14 28:21 34:15 36:8,18 38:17 39:13,24 40:14 41:9,25 honeywell's 9:13 10:11 11:17 29:2 33:17 43:24 honor 7:24 8:6,16 8:21 9:7,8,10,16 9:24 10:3,7,15 11:6,13,14,21 12:6,8,12,25 13:16,17 14:9,12 14:12,13,15,25 15:17,20,21,25 16:8,19,24 17:7 17:20 18:1,3,7,11 18:13,17,25 19:2 19:8,14,21,23 20:1,4,24 22:6,11 23:5,20 24:11 25:11,21 26:17 27:3,16,20 28:7 28:16,20 29:10,14 29:23,25 30:6,7,8 30:14,15,17 31:12 31:19 32:11 33:5 33:7,16,21,23
---	--	--	--

[honor - lot]

Page 6

34:6,17 35:5,7,9 35:14,21 36:8,14 36:20 37:16 38:9 38:13,16,25 39:9 39:16 40:1,2,3,4,6 40:6,12,22 41:12 41:21 42:2,7,9,22 42:23 43:10,20,21 44:10 honor's 14:4 20:9 23:21 34:15 35:22 39:4 43:1,12 honors 16:17 hopeful 41:25 hour 13:4,13 huh 34:22	informed 22:7 33:23 initial 10:19 14:16 initio 16:2 injury 3:6,9 instance 15:8 instances 18:18 intend 9:23 intending 18:2 intends 38:23 interest 26:8 42:4 interested 6:18 42:19 interim 27:7 international 3:1 3:4 4:3,10 interrupting 20:5 intertwined 13:10 introduce 20:6 23:18 involved 21:22 35:16 36:4 isenberg 4:22 41:21,22 42:5,7 issue 12:11 14:4 19:18 21:10,19 23:14,14,17,24 26:5,15,17 27:6 28:1,13,16,19 29:9 33:9 39:1,1,3 42:14,18 43:3 issued 15:10 issues 14:6 15:12 15:14 16:17 19:17 21:23 42:1,13 it'll 20:7 27:6	joined 21:16 jointly 1:9,17,21 1:25 2:7,10 jones 5:9 judge 2:22 7:2 8:25 9:1 10:16,20 11:10 14:1,12,15 14:19 15:2,4,7,9 15:18 16:14,15,19 17:5,8 18:1,5,21 19:5,5,10,13 20:10,14 21:8,12 21:19,20 22:10,13 22:17,19 23:12,18 24:3,4,18,21,25 25:4,6,17 26:18 26:23,24 27:8,11 27:19,24 28:4,14 29:1,4,12 30:2,4,8 30:11 31:23 33:10 33:22 36:17 37:25 38:2 43:7 judge's 22:7 judges 36:4 jump 41:21 justice 6:9 justified 32:4 justify 32:9 justin 4:7 7:25	18:14 20:13 22:17 23:2,5 24:6 26:10 29:13 32:1 33:16 34:16 35:17 36:3 36:3,5 38:14,24 39:7,13 40:17 41:5,6,8 knowledge 15:13 25:14 knows 9:7	
i	idea 22:25 impacted 27:1 implemented 14:19 implementing 15:9 important 9:22 10:22 12:24 16:6 20:9 impose 9:12 imposed 9:2 include 26:13 including 38:14 increasing 10:13 incredible 13:24 indicate 7:10 indicated 7:22 indiscernible 14:18 15:6 17:20 19:21 25:6 32:18 35:22 individual 35:19 industries 1:8 5:2 42:25 inefficient 13:23 15:22 20:1	j j 6:23 james 5:15 40:7 jason 5:7 42:24 joinder 21:14 joinders 35:17 38:3 39:17	k k 4:7 kaiser 1:20 kelleher 21:18 kept 17:23 kevin 2:21 5:23 13:17 20:24 21:18 kg 1:4,7,11,15,19 1:23 2:1,5,8 kind 21:6 king 5:4 6:11,20 kippur 37:9 know 7:5 9:16,21 13:12 17:3,4	l late 34:15 law 9:19 13:1 31:3 38:3 lawyer 35:19 lay 8:11 26:7 layton 5:1 42:24 left 19:19 42:13 legal 14:3 25:10 45:22 length 31:15 leslie 21:18 letter 33:13,20 34:2,3 36:17 43:7 limited 43:2,4 line 8:2 21:9 listen 14:2 literally 31:21 litigation 12:22 little 12:3 14:10 37:9 llp 4:9,16 6:1,17 local 10:14 31:6 long 12:15 13:3 17:16 38:22 41:13 longer 26:23 look 12:4 34:8 looking 37:4 lost 23:12 lot 13:9 19:7,8 32:18 35:15

[m - order]

Page 7

m	mediator 10:15 10:16 11:18 14:22 18:8 22:24	29:24 30:19 34:8 43:8,13 move 40:16	o'neill 5:15 40:6,7 40:12,19,21,24 41:2,4
m 5:7		n	objection 3:5 13:11 18:15 30:9 40:13,15,18 43:2
maclay 5:23 13:17 13:18,20 17:2,7 18:25 19:1,2 20:24,24 21:2,4 25:2,4,21 27:15 27:18 28:8,19,25 29:25 30:7,14 31:12 32:11 33:5 34:23 35:7,20 36:19 37:8,19,25 38:10,12 40:3 41:20 43:21,23,24 44:5	merely 28:4 merits 9:7,21 20:7 middle 31:9 mind 12:10 41:2 mindful 31:3 mineola 45:25 mineral 2:2 minimize 39:12 minutes 27:22 misspoke 22:9 moment 30:20 32:25 moments 22:7 montgomery 6:1 moot 29:19 mooted 19:16 25:1 mooting 15:23 19:25 motion 3:1,4,8 7:5 7:6,7,7,13,20,20 8:4,15,16,17 9:7 9:17 10:4,6,9 11:15,17,24 13:7 13:12 14:8 16:6,9 16:10,16,18,22 17:13,14,15,18,21 17:24 18:1,13,15 18:24 20:8 23:22 23:24 24:23,25 25:8 26:2,18 28:2 29:19 30:20,20,22 31:15 32:15 40:13 43:14 motions 7:5 8:4 8:18,19 9:6,9,24 9:25 10:1,6,11 11:14 12:7,8,19 13:11 17:6 19:3 20:16 24:2,7	n 4:1 7:1 45:1 narco 5:18 8:14 9:14 11:8 13:18 14:22,23 15:5,15 20:25 21:14,23 24:17,21 30:21 31:13 32:6 33:15 35:20,23 37:19,22 38:6 39:11,17,22 41:20 narrow 42:3 natalie 6:2,7 38:2 39:9 natalie's 38:12 necessarily 8:20 10:5 11:9 necessary 39:15 need 11:7,11 13:3 17:10,17 23:15 26:4 27:2 31:17 32:9,9,20,22,23 38:21 39:23 43:11 needs 10:19 16:1 18:23 32:14 new 4:12,20 40:10 41:16 north 2:14 3:5,8 5:4,11 6:20 note 16:7,15 17:12 25:9 35:21 notice 8:1,8 number 9:17 18:21 35:16 39:19 nw 5:19 ny 4:12,20 45:25	o'neill 5:15 40:6,7 40:12,19,21,24 41:2,4 objection 3:5 13:11 18:15 30:9 40:13,15,18 43:2 objectively 8:11 obligations 9:14 obviate 39:18 obviously 32:13 43:11 occurs 20:8 october 34:11,20 35:4,25 36:6,25 40:25 43:8 office 6:10 42:10 oh 41:17 okay 8:15 30:18 31:12 33:6,25 34:6 36:9,13 37:1 37:18 38:19,19 41:4,17 43:17 44:4 old 45:23 omitted 31:16 operator 2:25 opine 15:8 opinion 31:23,24 opportunity 16:13 27:13 31:18 32:12 38:4 oppose 26:23,24 opposed 12:1 16:10 26:3 opposes 9:17 opposing 9:24 opposite 27:21 oral 16:12 19:11 43:12 order 13:22 14:16 15:9 16:15 17:20 20:16 26:7 28:23 33:19 41:1
madison 4:11		o	
madron 5:7 42:23 42:24 43:5		o 2:20 7:1 45:1 o'clock 41:6,8	
main 32:17			
making 7:25 19:12,14			
market 2:14 5:11			
master 11:4,7			
matter 10:19 16:22 17:3 24:3,9 24:10 29:5 33:11 36:3			
matters 7:8 44:8			
mccarthy 2:25			
mccracken 6:1			
mcdermott 4:9 8:2,7			
mean 26:22 29:3 30:1 34:20 43:13			
means 7:14			
meant 41:16			
mediate 9:19 24:23			
mediation 8:22 9:1,10,11,25 10:4 18:16 20:12 25:10			

[ordered - reasons]

Page 8

ordered 17:21 ordering 23:24 27:1 orders 43:12 original 17:15 31:15 ought 30:23 outlined 38:6 outset 7:10 outside 29:15 30:12 36:22 overarching 13:21 overstated 14:9 owens 1:24 4:17 41:23 42:4	partner 21:18 partners 35:11 party 6:18 24:22 29:12,15 33:10 pause 34:9 pc 4:2 pending 9:5 36:3 pennsylvania 11:3 20:22 39:3 period 13:2 31:13 32:12 35:15 permission 33:4 permit 34:16 39:17 permits 10:14 person 16:1 25:16 35:12 personal 3:6,9 ph 14:19 41:3 philadelphia 6:5 phone 21:11,12 22:14 33:20 piece 16:18,21 place 15:24 plan 16:10 26:3 40:17 43:8 pleadings 22:23 pleased 7:9,22 pleasure 40:19 pm 2:18 44:12 point 11:7 13:21 16:25 18:10 19:14 30:8,17 39:15 43:10 pointing 37:11 points 12:24 13:20 16:7 21:2 polsinelli 4:2 position 21:9,17 24:22 26:1 30:13 31:9 33:17,23 42:20 43:24	positions 8:11 possible 35:12 potential 32:22 potentially 15:23 19:16,20 20:1 29:19 31:14 precedent 21:5 preclude 15:5 preference 7:11 41:7 prejudged 20:15 premature 9:12 prepare 35:3 presence 30:12,16 presented 19:4 press 40:18 pressing 31:1 presumably 17:10 18:5 presuppose 18:2 presupposing 18:12 previously 11:4 prior 8:18 9:8 12:22 15:22 19:11 19:11 30:16 priority 21:22 probably 23:14 33:21 34:14,24 36:6 38:13 39:18 problem 12:12,21 15:17 30:3 33:16 36:10 proceed 29:22,24 proceeding 14:22 14:22 15:16 19:16 29:13,15 proceedings 44:12 45:4 process 21:25 produced 10:24 products 2:2	proof 28:23 proper 9:11 propose 15:18 28:7 proposing 22:22 28:4,6,10 proven 26:20 provide 16:3 put 15:3 38:24 40:25 puts 28:20 putting 28:1
p		q	
p 4:1,1 7:1 p.a. 5:1 pa 6:5 pachulski 5:9 page 14:7,8 26:13 32:15,15 38:21 pages 12:20 32:16 paper 31:14 32:18 papers 7:14 15:3 16:11 19:21 25:22 26:7 39:19 paradigm 32:19 part 17:19 partially 9:21 particularly 15:16 parties 8:9,11 9:2 9:3 14:3 15:21 17:2 19:10,11 24:5 27:4,19,23 30:2,12,16 34:4 34:11 35:16,16 36:18 38:14,21 39:17,22 41:5,7 42:2,18		question 11:23 17:1 24:12 25:3 25:18,19 28:12 40:22 42:16 43:22 questions 16:24 quite 14:10 15:12 19:3 28:10 quotes 31:22	
		r	
		r 2:20 4:1 7:1 45:1 ramsey 6:2,7 38:2 38:2,9 39:9,9 40:2 rationale 15:5 reach 9:3 read 15:19 32:10 39:6 41:2 readdress 32:7 reading 16:2 ready 16:14 31:8 really 10:18 13:1 14:13 16:1 17:5 35:4,21 reason 8:21 9:16 11:13 13:2 17:25 25:6,17 26:19 32:17,20 38:11 reasoning 15:2 reasons 9:18,18 14:24	

[reassigned - shorter]

Page 9

reassigned 36:5 recognize 30:21 recognizing 32:22 recommendation 14:4,25 15:20 16:1,3 17:9 25:12 record 28:14 38:24 45:4 recycled 12:22 redacted 10:24 11:1 redactions 11:5 refer 9:25 11:18 24:2,9,10 29:6 referee 3:11 7:8 7:21 8:4,16,17 10:17 11:14 13:12 26:18 reference 11:24 13:6 referral 8:15 9:8 9:17 10:1,9 12:8 18:13,24 20:8 23:23 29:8,19 30:20,22 43:14 referred 22:21 refers 18:16 refractories 3:5,9 reiterate 15:3 rejected 12:23 13:1 related 10:22 relief 13:10 20:15 reluctant 30:1 rely 23:20 relying 25:24 remaining 16:18 16:21 remains 17:14 24:10 remind 26:16 repeat 11:8	replaced 14:17 replies 42:13 reply 12:19 14:8 16:11 17:13,16,22 26:3 31:16,17,20 32:1,2,5,8,13,15 32:17 36:17 44:1 report 14:4,25 15:20,25 16:3 17:9 25:12 represent 40:9 representative 21:15,16 represents 38:15 request 15:24 29:15 require 44:8 research 20:21 21:4 25:24 reservation 43:2 reserve 42:15,16 resolution 9:3 26:17 resolve 21:23 25:19 40:15 41:25 respect 8:3 13:22 21:8 43:24 respond 18:25 23:9 26:6 responding 29:2 response 13:21 19:2 33:14 34:1 40:13 41:24 42:17 responsive 26:16 restricted 14:20 result 16:16 reverse 28:22 review 16:4 24:4 31:13 rhoads 6:1 richard 6:15 42:9 richards 5:1 42:24	ride 41:13 right 8:5,13 11:19 12:7,14 13:5,14 13:14 20:2 23:4 24:1 25:2 29:14 30:18 32:24 33:9 33:25 34:12 35:8 35:16 36:2,11,16 36:20 37:2 38:1 39:16,21,21,24 40:5 41:18 42:5 42:15,17,20 43:5 43:6,12,16,18 44:6,7,11 rights 43:2 ripe 19:17 29:9 risk 15:23 19:25 road 23:19 38:21 45:23 rodney 5:3 6:19 role 10:18 11:10 15:9,10,11 43:4 room 22:14 rosh 37:8 roughly 26:13 route 33:21 rule 3:2,11 7:4,6,7 7:20,21 10:14,17 18:13 28:13 32:16 33:10 ruled 39:2 rules 31:3 ruling 12:11 15:2 31:24 run 15:23 <hr/> s <hr/> s 4:1 7:1 sake 33:18 satisfied 24:4 saul 4:16 41:22 saying 27:18 schedule 7:19 32:21	scheduled 12:6 scheduling 7:4 8:10 17:19 26:6 30:19 34:7 schepacarter 6:15 42:9,10,11,12,22 second 9:16 37:3 secondly 21:7 section 31:22 see 11:13 12:12 20:22 22:1 23:24 28:16 31:17 36:21 37:5 38:8 43:7 seek 25:16 seeking 10:9,12 10:20,23 13:10 14:1,17 20:15 25:9,11 seen 18:15 24:10 32:8 sees 27:11 sense 11:20,23,23 15:21 19:7 23:2 24:7 27:7 36:5,7 sentences 31:23 separate 43:25 separately 13:25 september 34:20 37:5,12 sequence 16:5,23 sequencing 16:25 set 26:6 40:24 settlement 3:6,9 shannon's 16:15 she'd 15:16 22:2 shift 10:12 shifting 29:11 shiner 22:13,15 23:11 short 8:1,8 25:5 41:14 shorter 32:18
---	--	--	---

[show - time]

Page 10

show 12:24 20:19 28:13,20,22 29:15 33:10,20 showing 42:2 side 13:4,5 17:13 significant 13:2 similar 28:13 42:8 simple 12:18 14:7 19:3 simpler 15:15 simplicity 9:23 simply 31:2 single 9:6 12:5 21:5 25:25 32:16 35:19 sir 13:19 situated 14:25 15:8 situation 18:8 36:12 solutions 45:22 somebody 11:11 somewhat 17:23 soon 34:21,23,25 35:18 sorry 26:21 34:20 35:9 38:18 sort 35:14 sounds 23:13 south 3:25 6:3 45:3,7 speak 21:11 27:15 speaker 22:14 speaking 22:12 speaks 32:15 special 11:3,7 specifically 10:25 specifics 39:15 spoken 21:18 41:25 square 5:3 6:19 stage 9:12 20:9	stages 19:14 stand 26:2 standard 14:13 standing 43:25 stang 5:9 stargatt 6:17 stark 15:10 stark's 14:20 15:2 15:5 31:23 start 41:6,6 state 20:19 states 1:1 2:2,13 6:9,10 20:19,21 status 7:4 statutes 20:19 stayed 16:17 story 29:7 str 38:14 street 2:14 5:4,11 6:3,11,20 strike 3:4 7:5,13 strong 15:4 30:21 submit 31:20 substance 10:5 suggest 14:8 suggested 16:16 27:7 29:23 suggesting 12:5,9 suggestion 38:12 suggests 29:12 suite 4:4,19 5:20 6:12 45:24 sum 11:13 supplemental 26:5 27:6 support 13:10,11 14:8 25:25 supporting 21:5 supposed 32:18 sure 10:18 19:1 24:5 28:10 32:2 35:11 38:4	surprise 26:10 surreplies 38:22 39:7 surreply 17:17,22 31:14,20 32:3,7,9 32:13,14,20 33:1 33:2,4 37:22 38:5 39:14,17,23 suspect 32:20 t t 45:1,1 table 11:15 26:9 tac 5:18 8:14,16 8:23 9:13 10:8,19 11:8 12:2,22 13:18 18:20 20:14 20:25 22:22 24:17 24:22 26:17 27:6 27:8 29:12,14 31:13,21 32:6 33:15 34:14 35:6 35:7,21,23 37:19 39:12 41:20 44:4 tac's 8:4 9:25 11:17 31:25 take 12:11,15 13:7 32:23 43:9 takes 7:17 30:21 31:21 talk 27:19,24 30:2 30:19 34:7 talking 8:24 22:25 taylor 6:17 teeny 14:11 telephone 7:3 8:8 telephonic 4:7,14 4:22 5:7,15,23 6:7 6:15,23 tell 11:6 30:25 33:15 telling 10:3 terms 16:5 22:15 38:6 42:3	thank 8:5 11:21 13:14,16,17 33:5 33:7 34:6 36:14 37:10 38:9 40:1,2 40:3,4,21 41:19 42:7,12,22 43:5 43:17,20 44:10 thanks 8:7 theoretically 39:20 thing 19:6 25:21 things 13:22 26:2 38:22 40:15 think 7:13,17,19 8:12 9:7 10:19 12:15,18 13:9,23 14:15 15:4,16 16:6,11,13,21 17:3,25 18:11,18 18:21,23 19:7,15 19:22 20:8,18 22:6,18,20,25 23:2,16,21 24:6 24:16,24 26:4 27:1,2,10 28:8,24 29:11,24 30:2,17 30:22 32:3,9,14 34:13 35:14 37:6 38:11,12,16,21,23 41:9 thinks 28:5,9 29:21 34:14,15 third 10:7 24:22 thomas 5:19 thought 19:21 25:13 30:19 three 31:5,7,22 36:4 37:5,6 thrust 26:10 time 7:25 9:11 11:15,19,25 13:3 13:7,8 15:17 16:5 17:22 24:7 25:14
--	---	---	--

[time - ziehl]

Page 11

30:23 32:25 34:12 34:14 35:15 36:4 36:6 40:18,24 41:3 44:1 times 41:10 timing 26:7 today 7:4 14:6 17:20 40:25 told 14:7 20:10,12 21:20 22:1,4,10 23:5 27:3 28:9 totally 19:19 train 41:13 transcribed 3:25 transcriber 45:8 transcript 24:5 45:3 translation 23:12 30:4 travel 17:3 traveling 24:6 37:13 41:7 tricky 25:21 true 15:7 45:4 trust 3:6,10 9:14 38:7 trustee 6:10 42:14 42:18 trustee's 42:10 try 25:24 tuesday 37:15,17 turn 26:25 twice 17:16 19:6 two 16:7 21:2 27:23 31:7,13,22 32:12 37:7 39:8 41:10 type 29:11 typically 9:2	uh 34:22 ultimate 14:20 unable 25:7 uncertain 22:15 underlying 17:18 understand 11:9 18:2,9 31:2 36:12 42:20 understanding 39:12 unfinished 17:14 unique 15:13 uniquely 15:8 united 1:1 2:2,13 6:9,10 unnecessary 40:15 unwilling 25:7 use 14:23 15:5,6 25:14 useful 14:12,15 15:16 19:8,9,13 19:22 20:1 22:3 usg 2:6 usually 9:3	wanted 23:18 38:4 40:7 wants 30:8 washington 5:21 41:15 waste 24:3 wasted 19:7 wasteful 19:16 way 27:2 29:24 30:10 38:23 we've 7:8,19 11:3 21:17 41:22 wednesday 37:15 week 31:9,13 32:12 37:14 weekend 37:14 weeks 37:5,6 weigh 22:18 welcome 42:6 west 35:10 western 11:2 39:3 whatsoever 29:14 wilmington 2:15 4:5 5:5,13 6:13,21 wind 30:3 wish 20:2 43:18 44:7 wishes 13:15 14:23 withdraw 25:8 26:18 wondering 22:9 words 28:25 work 11:8,11 17:5 20:11 35:18,24 37:16 39:11 43:19 works 35:23 37:17 41:19 44:3 world 1:8 5:2 42:25 write 34:1 36:16 43:6	wrong 15:4 y yeah 23:7 27:15 30:7 34:23 37:6 37:12 44:5 years 14:19 yom 37:8 york 4:12,20 41:16 young 6:17 z ziehl 5:9
u	v		
u.s. 2:22 42:10,14 42:18	various 34:4 veritext 45:22 versus 41:8 view 22:8 23:21 24:17 30:21 31:16 voluminous 39:19 w w.r. 2:9 5:10 40:9 wade 10:5 wait 19:24 waive 20:13 walker 6:1 want 9:20 11:6 12:3 21:25 26:16 27:19 33:15 35:1 35:2 38:24 39:4,6 40:24,25		